

# **A Report on the Modelling of the Dispersion and Deposition of Ammonia from the Proposed Broiler Chicken Rearing House at Land Near Llwyngwilym, Rhayader in Powys**

**Prepared by Sally Howse**

**AS Modelling & Data Ltd.**

Email: [sally@asmodata.co.uk](mailto:sally@asmodata.co.uk)

Telephone: 01952 462500

19<sup>th</sup> June 2018

## 1. Introduction

AS Modelling & Data Ltd. has been instructed by Gail Lewis, of Roger Parry & Partners LLP, on behalf of the applicant, to use computer modelling to assess the impact of ammonia emissions from the proposed broiler chicken rearing house at land near to Llwyngwilym, Rhayader in Powys. LD6 5NS.

Ammonia emission rates from the proposed poultry house have been assessed and quantified based upon the Environment Agency's standard ammonia emission factors. The ammonia emission rates have then been used as inputs to an atmospheric dispersion and deposition model which calculates ammonia exposure levels and nitrogen and acid deposition rates in the surrounding area.

This report is arranged in the following manner:

- Section 2 provides relevant details of the farm and potentially sensitive receptors in the area.
- Section 3 provides some general information on ammonia; details of the method used to estimate ammonia emissions; relevant guidelines and legislation on exposure limits and where relevant, details of likely background levels of ammonia.
- Section 4 provides some information about ADMS, the dispersion model used for this study and details the modelling procedure.
- Section 5 contains the results of the modelling.
- Section 6 provides a discussion of the results and conclusions.

## 2. Background Details


The site of the proposed poultry house at land near Llwyngwilym is in a rural area approximately 1 km to the north-north-east of the village of Rhayader in Powys. The site is atop a hill at an elevation of around 310 m, falling toward the Ray-hir Brook to the south-west and Nant Serw to the north-west land rising towards hill and mountain tops to the north-east. The surrounding land is predominantly pasture, although there are some wooded areas nearby.

Under the proposal, a new poultry house would be constructed at land near Llwyngwilym. The proposed poultry house would provide accommodation for up to 55,000 broiler chickens and would be ventilated by high speed ridge or roof fans, each with a short chimney.

There are several sites of Ancient Woodlands (AWs) within 2 km of the proposed poultry shed at land near Llwyngwilym. There are thirteen Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) within 5 km of the proposed site, namely Upper Nantserth Pasture; Caeau Wern; New House Meadow; Coed Y Cefn; Marcheini Uplands, Gilfach Farm and Gamallt; Cae Coed Gleision; Rhos Rhyd-y-ceir; Cwm Gwynllyn; Cae Cwm-Bach; River Wye (Upper Wye); Elenydd; Cerrig-Gwalch and Carn Gafallt. There are also two sites designated as a Special Area of Conservation (SAC), Elan Valley Woodlands and the River Wye and one Special Protected Area (SPA), Elenydd – Mallaen, within 5 km of the proposed site.

Maps of the surrounding area showing the positions of land near Llwyngwilym, the AWs, SSSIs and SAC are provided in Figure 1. In the figures, the AWs are shaded in olive, the SSSIs are shaded in green, the SACs are shaded in purple, the SPAs are shaded in orange and the site of the proposed poultry house is indicated by a blue rectangle.

Figure 1. The area surrounding Land near Llwyngwilym – concentric circles radii 5 km (green) and 2 km (olive)



© Crown copyright and database rights. 2018.



## 3. Ammonia, Background Levels, Critical Levels & Loads & Emission Rates

### 3.1 Ammonia concentration and nitrogen and acid deposition

When assessing potential impact on ecological receptors, ammonia concentration is usually expressed in terms of micrograms of ammonia per metre cubed of air ( $\mu\text{g-NH}_3/\text{m}^3$ ) as an annual mean. Ammonia in the air may exert direct effects on the vegetation, or indirectly affect the ecosystem through deposition which causes both hyper-eutrophication (excess nitrogen enrichment) and acidification of soils. Nitrogen deposition, specifically in this case the nitrogen load due to ammonia deposition/absorption, is usually expressed in kilograms of nitrogen per hectare per year ( $\text{kg-N/ha/y}$ ). Acid deposition is expressed in terms of kilograms equivalent (of  $\text{H}^+$  ions) per hectare per year ( $\text{keq/ha/y}$ ).

### 3.2 Background ammonia levels and nitrogen and acid deposition

The background ammonia concentration (annual mean) in the area around Land near Llwyngwilym is  $0.99 \mu\text{g-NH}_3/\text{m}^3$ . The background nitrogen deposition rate to woodland is  $26.46 \text{ kg-N/ha/y}$  and to short vegetation is  $17.92 \text{ kg-N/ha/y}$ . The background acid deposition rate to woodland is  $2.08 \text{ keq/ha/y}$  and to short vegetation is  $1.44 \text{ keq/ha/y}$ . The source of these background figures is the Air Pollution Information System (APIS).

### 3.3 Critical Levels and Critical Loads

Critical Levels and Critical Loads are a benchmark for assessing the risk of air pollution impacts to ecosystems. It is important to distinguish between a Critical Level and a Critical Load. The Critical Level is the gaseous concentration of a pollutant in the air, whereas the Critical Load relates to the quantity of pollutant deposited from air to the ground.

Critical Levels are defined as, "concentrations of pollutants in the atmosphere above which direct adverse effects on receptors, such as human beings, plants, ecosystems or materials, may occur according to present knowledge" (UNECE).

Critical Loads are defined as, "a quantitative estimate of exposure to one or more pollutants below which significant harmful effects on specified sensitive elements of the environment do not occur according to present knowledge" (UNECE).

For ammonia concentration in air, the Critical Level for higher plants is  $3.0 \mu\text{g-NH}_3/\text{m}^3$  as an annual mean. For sites where there are sensitive lichens and bryophytes present, or where lichens and bryophytes are an integral part of the ecosystem, the Critical Level is  $1.0 \mu\text{g-NH}_3/\text{m}^3$  as an annual mean.

Critical Loads for nutrient nitrogen are set under the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution. They are based on empirical evidence, mainly observations from experiments and gradient studies. Critical Loads are given as ranges (e.g. 10-20 kg-N/ha/y); these ranges reflect variation in ecosystem response across Europe.

The Critical Levels and Critical Loads at the wildlife sites assumed in this study are provided in Table 1. N.B. Where the Critical Level of 1.0  $\mu\text{g-NH}_3/\text{m}^3$  is assumed, it is usually unnecessary to consider the Critical Load as the Critical Level provides the stricter test. Normally the Critical Load for nitrogen deposition provides a stricter test than the Critical Load for acid deposition.

*Table 1. Critical Levels and Critical Loads at the wildlife sites*

Site	Critical Level ( $\mu\text{g-NH}_3/\text{m}^3$ )	Critical Load - Nitrogen Deposition (kg-N/ha/y)	Critical Load - Acid Deposition (keq/ha/y)
AWs	1.0 <sup>1</sup>	-	-
Upper Nantserth Pasture; Coed Y Cefn; Marchaini Uplands, Gilfach Farm & Gamallt; Rhos Rhyd-y-ceir; Cwm Gwynllyn; River Wye (Upper Wye); Cerrig-Gwalch; Carn Gafallt and Elenydd SSSIs	1.0 <sup>1</sup>	5.0 <sup>2</sup>	-
Caeau Wern; New House Meadow; Cae Coed Gleision and Cae Cwm-Bach SSSIs	1.0 <sup>1</sup>	10.0 <sup>2</sup>	-
Elenydd – Mallaen SPA	3.0 <sup>2</sup>	10.0 <sup>2</sup>	-
River Wye SAC and Elan Valley Woodlands SAC	1.0 <sup>2</sup>	10.0 <sup>2</sup>	-

1. A precautionary figure, used where details of the site are unavailable, or citations indicate that sensitive lichens and bryophytes may be present.
2. APIS (June, 2018).

### 3.4 Guidance on the Significance of Ammonia Emissions

In March 2017, Natural Resources Wales (Regulation and Permitting Department, EPP) published Operational Guidance Note 41 (OGN 41), “Assessment of ammonia and nitrogen impacts from livestock units when applying for an Environmental Permit or Planning Permission”. This guidance was intended to update the way Natural Resources Wales (NRW) assessed emissions, in particular by changing the thresholds of insignificance and the upper threshold process contributions for designated sites. These designated sites include European sites, such as Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and Ramsar sites as well as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs).

Table 1 in OGN 41 describes the revised screening distance and thresholds for livestock developments; the threshold of insignificant percentage of the designated site Critical Level or Load is given as 1%; the upper threshold percentage of the designated site Critical Level or Load is given as 8%.

Table 2 in OGN 41 describes the possible outcomes of assessment and for detailed modelling of the application alone, where process contributions, considered in isolation, are up to 1% of the designated site Critical Level or Load, then it should be determined that there is no significant environmental effect/no likely significant effect/damage to scientific interest.

Where process contributions, considered in isolation, are between 1% and 8% of the designated site Critical Level or Load, an in-combination assessment is required. Should the in-combination process contributions be between 1% and 8% of the designated site Critical Level or Load then it should be determined that the application would cause no significant environmental effect/likely significant effect/damage to scientific interest.

When considering process contributions in isolation or in-combination, if they exceed 8% of the designated site Critical Level or Load it is necessary to consider background concentrations and whether the designated site Critical Level or Load is breached and whether additional controls may be necessary. The application will then be determined based on whether there will be significant environmental effect/adverse effect/damage to scientific interest.

For Local Nature Reserves (LNRs), Local Wildlife Sites (LWSs) and Ancient Woodlands (AWs), the current assessment procedure still applies, namely the Environment Agency's horizontal guidance, H1 Environmental Risks Assessment, H1 Annex B - Intensive Farming. The following are taken from this document.

"An emission is insignificant where Process Contribution (PC) is <50% for local and national nature reserves (LNRs & NNRs), ancient woodland and local wildlife sites." And "Where modelling predicts a process contribution >100% at a NNR, LNR, ancient woodland or local wildlife site, your proposal may not be considered acceptable. In such cases, your assessment should include proposals to reduce ammonia emissions."

This document was withdrawn February 1<sup>st</sup> 2016 and replaced with a web-page titled "Intensive farming risk assessment for your environmental permit", which contains essentially the same criteria. It is assumed that the upper threshold and lower threshold on the web-page refers to the levels that were previously referred to as levels of insignificance and acceptability in Annex B– Intensive Farming.

Within the range between the lower and upper thresholds, whether or not the impact is deemed acceptable is at the discretion of the Environment Agency. N.B. In the case of LWSs and AWs, the Environment Agency do not usually consider other farms that may act in combination and therefore a PC of up to 100% of Critical Level or Critical Load is usually deemed acceptable for permitting purposes and therefore the upper and lower thresholds are the same (100%).

### **3.5 IAQM Position Statement on the use of the 1% criterion**

A Position Statement issued by the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) in January 2016 further clarifies the use of the 1% criterion for the determination of an 'insignificant' effect of air quality impacts on sensitive habitats. The Position Statement states: "*the use of a criterion of 1% of an environmental standard or assessment level in the context of habitats should be used only to screen out impacts that will have an insignificant effect. It should not be used as a threshold above which damage is implied*". Furthermore, if the impacts are plainly above 1% then this should be regarded as potentially significant; where impacts are just slightly greater than 1% then a degree of professional judgement should be applied with regards to the theoretical risk.

### 3.6 Quantification of ammonia emissions

Ammonia emission rates from poultry houses, ranging areas and manure spreading depend on many factors and are likely to be highly variable. However, the benchmarks for assessing impacts of ammonia and nitrogen deposition are framed in terms of an annual mean ammonia concentration and annual nitrogen deposition rates. To obtain relatively robust figures for these statistics it is not necessary to model short term temporal variations and a steady continuous emission rate can be assumed. In fact, modelling short term temporal variations might introduce rather more uncertainty than modelling continuous emissions.

The Environment Agency provided an Intensive farming guidance note which lists standard ammonia emission factors for a variety of livestock, including broiler chickens. The emission factor for broiler chickens is 0.034 kg-NH<sub>3</sub>/bird place/y; this figure is used to calculate the emissions from the proposed poultry house.

Details of the poultry numbers and types and emission factors used and calculated ammonia emission rates are provided in Table 2.

*Table 2. Details of poultry numbers and ammonia emission rates*

Source	Animal numbers	Type or weight	Emission factor (kg-NH <sub>3</sub> /place/y)	Emission rate (g-NH <sub>3</sub> /s)
Proposed Housing	55,000	Broiler Chickens	0.034	0.059257



## 4. The Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling System (ADMS) and model parameters

The Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling System (ADMS) ADMS 5 is a new generation Gaussian plume air dispersion model, which means that the atmospheric boundary layer properties are characterised by two parameters; the boundary layer depth, and the Monin-Obukhov length rather than in terms of the single parameter Pasquill-Gifford class.

Dispersion under convective meteorological conditions uses a skewed Gaussian concentration distribution (shown by validation studies to be a better representation than a symmetrical Gaussian expression).

ADMS has a number of model options including: dry and wet deposition; NO<sub>x</sub> chemistry; impacts of hills, variable roughness, buildings and coastlines; puffs; fluctuations; odours; radioactivity decay (and  $\gamma$ -ray dose); condensed plume visibility; time varying sources and inclusion of background concentrations.

ADMS has an in-built meteorological pre-processor that allows flexible input of meteorological data both standard and more specialist. Hourly sequential and statistical data can be processed and all input and output meteorological variables are written to a file after processing.

The user defines the pollutant, the averaging time (which may be an annual average or a shorter period), which percentiles and exceedance values to calculate, whether a rolling average is required or not and the output units. The output options are designed to be flexible to cater for the variety of air quality limits, which can vary from country to country and are subject to revision.

## 4.1 Meteorological data

Computer modelling of dispersion requires hourly sequential meteorological data and to provide robust statistics the record should be of a suitable length; preferably four years or longer.

The meteorological data used in this study is obtained from assimilation and short term forecast fields of the Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) system known as the Global Forecast System (GFS). Observational meteorological data from Sennybridge, Shobdon and Trawscoed have also been considered.

The GFS is a spectral model and data are archived at a horizontal resolution of 0.25 degrees, which is approximately 25 km over the UK (formerly 0.5 degrees, or approximately 50 km). The GFS resolution adequately captures major topographical features and the broad-scale characteristics of the weather over the UK. Smaller scale topological features may be included in the dispersion modelling by using the flow field module of ADMS (FLOWSTAR). The use of NWP data has advantages over traditional meteorological records because:

- Calm periods in traditional records may be over represented, this is because the instrumentation used may not record wind speed below approximately 0.5 m/s and start up wind speeds may be greater than 1.0 m/s. In NWP data, the wind speed is continuous down to 0.0 m/s, allowing the calms module of ADMS to function correctly.
- Traditional records may include very local deviations from the broad-scale wind flow that would not necessarily be representative of the site being modelled; these deviations are difficult to identify and remove from a meteorological record. Conversely, local effects at the site being modelled are relatively easy to impose on the broad-scale flow and provided horizontal resolution is not too great, the meteorological records from NWP data may be expected to represent well the broad-scale flow.
- Information on the state of the atmosphere above ground level which would otherwise be estimated by the meteorological pre-processor may be included explicitly.

A wind rose showing the distribution of wind speeds and directions in the GFS derived data is shown in Figure 2a.

Wind speeds are modified by the treatment of roughness lengths (see Section 4.7) and where terrain data is included in the modelling, wind speeds and directions will be modified. The terrain and roughness length modified wind rose for the land near Llwyngwilym is shown in Figure 2b. Note that elsewhere in the modelling domain the modified wind roses may differ more markedly and that the resolution of the wind field in terrain runs is approximately 185 m in the preliminary and detailed modelling.

Data from the meteorological recording stations at Sennybridge, Shobdon and Trawscoed have also been considered. However, neither Sennybridge, Shobdon nor Trawscoed, has an aspect that in any way could be considered similar to the land near Llwyngwilym; therefore, it should be noted that the frequency of winds from a particular direction in the Sennybridge, Shobdon and Trawscoed data may be either high or low in comparison to what might occur at land near Llwyngwilym, which means mean concentrations downwind may be either over or under predicted. Additionally, periods of light winds and calms cannot be properly modelled. Therefore, it is the opinion of AS Modelling & Data Ltd. that the results obtained using the GFS data, particularly when modified by using FLOWSTAR, should be given more weight when interpreting the results of the modelling.

The wind roses for Sennybridge, Shobdon and Trawscoed are shown in Figures 2c, 2d and 2e.

*Figure 2a. The wind rose. Raw GFS derived data, for 52.314 N, 3.496 W, 2014 – 2017*




Figure 2b. The wind rose. FLOWSTAR modified GFS derived data for NGR 298000, 269550, 2014-2017




Figure 2c. The wind rose. Sennybridge, 2014 – 2017




Figure 2d. The wind rose. Shobdon, 2014 – 2017







Figure 2e. The wind rose. Trawscoed, 2014 – 2017



## 4.2 Emission sources

Emissions from the high speed ridge/roof fans that would be used to ventilate the proposed poultry house are represented by three point sources within ADMS (PR1 a, b and c). Details of the point source parameters are shown in Table 3. The positions of the point sources may be seen in Figure 3, where they are indicated by red star symbols.

*Table 3. Point source parameters*

Source ID	Height (m)	Diameter (m)	Efflux velocity (m/s)	Emission temperature (°C)	Emission rate per source (g-NH <sub>3</sub> /s)
PR1 a, b & c	6.5	0.8	11.0	19.0	0.019752

## 4.3 Modelled buildings

The structure of the proposed new poultry house may affect the odour plumes from the point sources. Therefore, the building is modelled within ADMS. The position of the modelled building may be seen in Figure 3 where it is marked by a grey rectangle.

## 4.4 Discrete receptors

Sixty-two discrete receptors have been defined: twenty-four at the AWs (1 to 24), twelve at the SSSIs (25 to 36), twelve at the SACs/SSSIs (37 to 48) and fourteen at the SPA/SACs/SSSIs (49 to 62). These receptors are defined at ground level within ADMS. The positions of the discrete receptors may be seen in Figure 4, where they are marked by enumerated pink rectangles.

## 4.5 Cartesian grid

To produce the contour plots presented in Section 5 of this report and to define the spatially varying deposition field used in the detailed modelling, a regular Cartesian grid has been defined within ADMS. The individual grid receptors are defined at ground level within ADMS. The position of the Cartesian grid may be seen in Figure 4b, where it is marked by grey lines bounded by a purple rectangle.


## 4.6 Terrain data

Terrain has been considered in the modelling. The terrain data are based upon the Ordnance Survey 50 m Digital Elevation Model. A 12.0 km x 12.0 km domain has been resampled at 100 m horizontal resolution for use within ADMS for the preliminary and detailed modelling. N.B. The resolution of FLOWSTAR is 64 x 64 grid points; therefore, the effective resolution of the wind field for the terrain runs is approximately 185 m.

## 4.7 Roughness Length


A fixed surface roughness length of 0.25 m has been applied over the entire modelling domain. As a precautionary measure, the GFS meteorological data is assumed to have a roughness length of 0.225 m. The effect of the difference in roughness length is precautionary as it increases the frequency of low wind speeds and the stability and therefore increases predicted ground level concentrations.

Figure 3. The positions of modelled sources



© Crown copyright and database rights. 2018.

Figure 4. The discrete receptors and regular Cartesian Grid



© Crown copyright and database rights. 2018.

## 4.8 Deposition

The method used to model deposition of ammonia and consequent plume depletion is based on a document titled “Guidance on modelling the concentration and deposition of ammonia emitted from intensive farming” from the Environment Agency’s Air Quality Modelling and Assessment Unit, 22 November 2010. N.B. AS Modelling & Data Ltd. has restricted deposition over arable farmland and heavily grazed and fertilised pasture; this is to compensate for possible saturation effects due to fertilizer application and to allow for periods when fields are clear of crops (Sutton), the deposition is also restricted over areas with little or no vegetation and the deposition velocity is set to 0.002 m/s where grid points are over the poultry housing and 0.015 m/s over heavily grazed grassland. N.B deposition to water surfaces is calculated assuming a deposition velocity of 0.005 m/s.

In summary, the method is as follows:

- A preliminary run of the model without deposition is used to provide an ammonia concentration field.
- The preliminary ammonia concentration field, along with land usage, is used to define a deposition velocity field. The deposition velocities used are provided in Table 4.

*Table 4. Deposition velocities*


NH <sub>3</sub> concentration (PC + background) (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	< 10	10 - 20	20 - 30	30 – 80	> 80
Deposition velocity – woodland (m/s)	0.03	0.015	0.01	0.005	0.003
Deposition velocity – short vegetation (m/s)	0.02 (0.015 over heavily grazed grassland)	0.015	0.01	0.005	0.003
Deposition velocity – arable farmland/rye grass (m/s)	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.003

- The model is then rerun with the spatially varying deposition module.

A contour plot of the spatially varying deposition field is provided in Figure 5.



Figure 5. The spatially varying deposition field



© Crown copyright and database rights. 2018.



## 5. Details of the Model Runs and Results

### 5.1 Preliminary modelling and model sensitivity tests

ADMS was run a total of twenty-eight times, once for each year in the meteorological record in the following seven modes:

- In basic mode without calms, or terrain – GFS data.
- With calms and without terrain – GFS data.
- Without calms and with terrain – GFS data.
- Without calms and with terrain – GFS data with a fixed deposition at 0.003 m/s.
- In basic mode without calms, or terrain – Sennybridge data.
- In basic mode without calms, or terrain – Shobdon data.
- In basic mode without calms, or terrain – Trawscoed data.

For each mode, statistics for the maximum annual mean ammonia concentration at each receptor were compiled.

Details of the predicted annual mean ammonia concentrations at each receptor are provided in Table 5. In the Table, predicted ammonia concentrations, including those that would lead to a nitrogen deposition rate, that are in excess of the Natural Resources Wales/Environment Agency's upper threshold (8% of Critical Level or Load for a SPA/SAC/SSSI and 100% of a Critical Level or Load for an AW) are coloured red. Concentrations in the range between the Natural Resources Wales/Environment Agency's upper threshold and lower threshold (1% to 8% for a SPA/SAC/SSSI and 50% to 100% for an AW) are coloured blue. For convenience, cells referring to the AWs are shaded olive, cells referring to the SSSIs are shaded green, cells referring to the SACs are shaded purple and cells referring to the SPA are shaded in orange.

Table 5. Predicted maximum annual mean ammonia concentration at the discrete receptors - preliminary modelling

Receptor number	X(m)	Y(m)	Designation	Maximum annual mean ammonia concentration - (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )						
				GFS No Calms No Terrain	GFS Calms No Terrain	GFS No Calms Terrain	GFS No Calms Terrain Fixed Dep 0.003 m/s	Sennybridge No Calms No Terrain	Shobdon No Calms No Terrain	Trawscoed No Calms No Terrain
1	298505	269468	Unnamed AW	0.150	0.149	0.151	0.141	0.068	0.164	0.131
2	297944	269088	Unnamed AW	0.040	0.040	0.043	0.040	0.070	0.061	0.020
3	297398	269408	Cefn Coed AW	0.070	0.069	0.061	0.052	0.040	0.091	0.038
4	297112	269361	Cefn Coed AW	0.042	0.041	0.050	0.041	0.023	0.055	0.023
5	297078	269728	Middle Nant-serth Wood AW	0.033	0.033	0.068	0.046	0.015	0.026	0.031
6	298771	269323	Unnamed AW	0.073	0.072	0.085	0.074	0.033	0.088	0.067
7	297552	269063	Unnamed AW	0.041	0.041	0.026	0.023	0.052	0.048	0.009
8	297355	268948	Unnamed AW	0.028	0.028	0.019	0.017	0.035	0.036	0.007
9	298439	268734	Unnamed AW	0.019	0.019	0.029	0.024	0.029	0.028	0.023
10	296737	269647	Lower Nant Serth Wood AW	0.024	0.024	0.040	0.028	0.010	0.022	0.019
11	296865	269250	Unnamed AW	0.029	0.029	0.046	0.036	0.016	0.043	0.015
12	297070	268811	Unnamed AW	0.019	0.019	0.015	0.013	0.023	0.029	0.006
13	296502	269178	Unnamed AW	0.021	0.020	0.055	0.041	0.011	0.032	0.011
14	296711	268747	Unnamed AW	0.015	0.015	0.017	0.015	0.017	0.028	0.007
15	296183	269204	Unnamed AW	0.017	0.017	0.040	0.027	0.009	0.025	0.010
16	296490	268747	Unnamed AW	0.014	0.014	0.017	0.014	0.013	0.027	0.007
17	296763	268334	Unnamed AW	0.013	0.013	0.008	0.007	0.015	0.018	0.003
18	298230	268176	Unnamed AW	0.010	0.010	0.007	0.006	0.012	0.013	0.007
19	298100	267583	Unnamed AW	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.013	0.009	0.005
20	298906	268024	Unnamed AW	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.005	0.017	0.016	0.011
21	299571	269886	Unnamed AW	0.026	0.026	0.031	0.027	0.024	0.038	0.020
22	299392	270494	Coed Fron-goch AW	0.023	0.023	0.022	0.016	0.032	0.022	0.015
23	299891	269803	Unnamed AW	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.019	0.018	0.034	0.017
24	296283	268536	Unnamed AW	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.009	0.011	0.022	0.006
25	297641	270176	Upper Nantserth Pasture SSSI	0.035	0.035	0.031	0.027	0.032	0.030	0.097
26	300667	269793	Caeau Wern SSSI	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.011	0.012	0.025	0.011
27	300875	269586	Caeau Wern SSSI	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.011	0.011	0.025	0.011
28	296805	267613	New House Meadow SSSI	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.007	0.016	0.011	0.002
29	295575	268140	Coed Y Cefn SSSI	0.007	0.007	0.010	0.006	0.008	0.016	0.004
30	295642	267687	Coed Y Cefn SSSI	0.007	0.007	0.009	0.005	0.008	0.012	0.002
31	297055	271782	Marcheini Uplands, Gilfach Farm & Gamallt SSSI	0.008	0.008	0.005	0.003	0.011	0.006	0.027

Table 5. Continued.

Receptor number	X(m)	Y(m)	Designation	Maximum annual mean ammonia concentration - (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )						
				GFS No Calms No Terrain	GFS Calms No Terrain	GFS No Calms Terrain	GFS No Calms Terrain Fixed Dep 0.003 m/s	Sennybridge No Calms No Terrain	Shobdon No Calms No Terrain	Trawscoed No Calms No Terrain
32	298237	272499	Cae Coed Gleision SSSI	0.007	0.007	0.009	0.006	0.017	0.008	0.018
33	297534	272580	Marcheini Uplands, Gilfach Farm & Gamallt SSSI	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.016	0.006	0.023
34	298501	264598	Rhos Rhyd-y-ceir SSSI	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.006	0.004	0.002
35	295041	268660	Cwm Gwynllyn SSSI	0.008	0.008	0.014	0.008	0.005	0.017	0.005
36	295270	269998	Cae Cwm-Bach SSSI	0.009	0.009	0.022	0.012	0.003	0.009	0.008
37	296642	268690	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.015	0.026	0.006
38	296847	268242	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.014	0.013	0.009	0.007	0.017	0.016	0.003
39	296448	269071	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.018	0.018	0.047	0.035	0.011	0.031	0.010
40	297436	267727	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.009	0.009	0.016	0.012	0.023	0.012	0.003
41	297305	266804	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.006	0.006	0.012	0.008	0.016	0.008	0.002
42	295620	266858	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.008	0.008	0.002
43	296641	265671	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.004	0.004	0.011	0.007	0.011	0.006	0.002
44	297344	265012	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.009	0.004	0.002
45	294453	270833	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.005	0.005	0.008	0.005	0.002	0.006	0.007
46	295210	271482	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.006	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.011
47	294170	272478	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.009
48	295794	268895	Elan Valley Woodlands SAC/Cwm Gwynllyn SSSI	0.012	0.012	0.020	0.011	0.007	0.022	0.007
49	296427	270969	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Marcheini Uplands, Gilfach Farm & Gamallt SSSI	0.009	0.009	0.005	0.003	0.004	0.007	0.020
50	295650	270425	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Marcheini Uplands, Gilfach Farm & Gamallt SSSI	0.008	0.008	0.010	0.006	0.003	0.008	0.011
51	296821	272761	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Marcheini Uplands, Gilfach Farm & Gamallt SSSI	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.010	0.004	0.019
52	296678	274038	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Marcheini Uplands, Gilfach Farm & Gamallt SSSI	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.008	0.003	0.014
53	294752	272926	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Marcheini Uplands, Gilfach Farm & Gamallt SSSI	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.010
54	295358	273675	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Marcheini Uplands, Gilfach Farm & Gamallt SSSI	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.012
55	295204	269064	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Elan Valley Woodlands SAC/Cwm Gwynllyn SSSI	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.006	0.005	0.017	0.006
56	294466	268899	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Elan Valley Woodlands SAC/Cwm Gwynllyn & Elenydd SSSI	0.008	0.008	0.010	0.006	0.004	0.014	0.005
57	293388	269746	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Elenydd SSSI	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.007	0.004
58	294158	268062	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Elenydd SSSI	0.005	0.005	0.008	0.004	0.004	0.013	0.003
59	294180	266929	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Elenydd SSSI	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.002	0.005	0.008	0.002
60	293696	270296	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Cerrig-Gwalch SSSI	0.005	0.005	0.008	0.004	0.002	0.006	0.005
61	296700	265520	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Carn Gafallt SSSI	0.004	0.004	0.010	0.006	0.011	0.005	0.002
62	295809	265322	Elenydd - Mallaen SPA/Elan Valley Woodlands SAC/Carn Gafallt SSSI	0.004	0.004	0.011	0.005	0.009	0.005	0.001

## 5.2 Detailed modelling

The detailed modelling, which includes ammonia deposition and the consequent plume depletion, was carried out over a restricted domain covering the proposed poultry unit at land near Llwyngwilym, Upper Nantserth Pasture SSSI, Caeau Wern SSSI, Cae Cwm-Bach SSSI, Elan Valley Woodlands SAC/Cwm Gwynllyn SSSI and the closer parts of the River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI, the areas where preliminary modelling (GFS fixed deposition run) indicated that annual mean ammonia concentrations or nitrogen deposition rates would potentially exceed 1% of the Critical Level or Critical Load, which is Natural Resources Wales' lower threshold percentage for a SAC and SSSI. At the other wildlife sites, the preliminary modelling indicated that ammonia levels (and nitrogen deposition rates) would be below Natural Resources Wales/Environment Agency's relevant lower threshold percentage of Critical Level/Load for the designation of the site.

Terrain effects may be significant at some receptors; therefore, the detailed deposition run was made with terrain. Calms cannot be used with terrain or spatially varying deposition; therefore, calms have not been included in the detailed modelling; however, the results of the preliminary modelling indicate that the effects of calms are insignificant in this case.


The predicted maximum annual mean ground level ammonia concentrations and nitrogen deposition rates at the discrete receptors within the detailed modelling domain are shown in Table 6. In the Table, predicted ammonia concentrations or nitrogen deposition rates that are in excess of the Natural Resources Wales upper threshold (8% of Critical Level or Load for a SPA/SAC/SSSI and 100% of Critical Level or Load for AW) are coloured red. Concentrations that are in the range between the Natural Resources Wales lower and upper threshold (1% to 8% for a SPA/SAC/SSSI and 50% to 100% for AW) are coloured blue.

A contour plot of the predicted ground level maximum annual mean ammonia concentration is shown in Figure 6 and a contour plot of the maximum nitrogen deposition rate is shown in Figure 7.

Table 6. Predicted maximum annual mean ammonia concentrations and nitrogen deposition rates at the discrete receptors - detailed modelling

Receptor number	X(m)	Y(m)	Designation	Site Parameters			Maximum annual ammonia concentration		Maximum annual nitrogen deposition rate	
				Deposition Velocity	Critical Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Critical Load ( $\text{kg}/\text{ha}$ )	Process Contribution ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	%age of Critical Level	Process Contribution ( $\text{kg}/\text{ha}$ )	%age of Critical Load
25	297641	270176	Upper Nantserth Pasture SSSI	0.020	1.0	5.0	0.023	2.3	0.12	2.4
26	300667	269793	Caeau Wern SSSI	0.020	1.0	10.0	0.008	0.8	0.04	0.4
27	300875	269586	Caeau Wern SSSI	0.020	1.0	10.0	0.008	0.8	0.04	0.4
28	296805	267613	New House Meadow SSSI	0.030	1.0	10.0	0.005	0.5	0.04	0.4
29	295575	268140	Coed Y Cefn SSSI	0.030	1.0	5.0	0.004	0.4	0.03	0.7
30	295642	267687	Coed Y Cefn SSSI	0.030	1.0	5.0	0.004	0.4	0.03	0.6
35	295041	268660	Cwm Gwynllyn SSSI	0.030	1.0	5.0	0.005	0.5	0.04	0.7
36	295270	269998	Cae Cwm-Bach SSSI	0.030	1.0	10.0	0.006	0.6	0.05	0.5
37	296642	268690	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.030	1.0	5.0	0.010	1.0	0.08	1.5
38	296847	268242	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.030	1.0	5.0	0.005	0.5	0.04	0.8
39	296448	269071	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.030	1.0	5.0	0.024	2.4	0.19	3.7
40	297436	267727	River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI	0.020	1.0	5.0	0.010	1.0	0.05	1.0
48	295794	268895	Elan Valley Woodlands SAC/Cwm Gwynllyn SSSI	0.020	1.0	5.0	0.007	0.7	0.04	0.7


Figure 6. Maximum annual ammonia concentration



© Crown copyright and database rights. 2018.



Figure 7. Maximum annual nitrogen deposition rates



© Crown copyright and database rights. 2018.

## 6. Summary and Conclusions

AS Modelling & Data Ltd. has been instructed by Gail Lewis, of Roger Parry & Partners LLP, on behalf of the applicant, to use computer modelling to assess the impact of ammonia emissions from the proposed broiler chicken rearing house at land near to Llwyngwilym, Rhayader in Powys. LD6 5NS.

Ammonia emission rates from the proposed poultry house have been assessed and quantified based upon the Environment Agency's standard ammonia emission factors. The ammonia emission rates have then been used as inputs to an atmospheric dispersion and deposition model which calculates ammonia exposure levels and nitrogen and acid deposition rates in the surrounding area.

### Preliminary modelling

The preliminary modelling predicts that the process contribution of the proposed poultry unit to the annual ammonia concentration and the nitrogen deposition rate would exceed the Natural Resources Wales/Environment Agency's lower threshold percentage of the Critical Level or Load (1% for a SAC/SSSI), at Upper Nantserth Pasture SSSI, Caeau Wern SSSI, Cae Cwm-Bach SSSI, Elan Valley Woodlands SAC/Cwm Gwynllyn SSSI and the closer parts of the River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI.

At the other wildlife sites, the preliminary modelling indicated that ammonia levels (and nitrogen deposition rates) would be below Natural Resources Wales/Environment Agency's relevant lower threshold percentage of Critical Level/Load for the designation of the site.

### Detailed deposition modelling

The detailed modelling predicts that the maximum annual ammonia concentration and nitrogen deposition rates would:

- Exceed the Natural Resources Wales/Environment Agency's lower threshold of 1% of the Critical Level of  $1.0 \mu\text{g-NH}_3/\text{m}^3$  by a small margin at Upper Nantserth Pasture SSSI and along approximately 1.5 km of the River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI.
- Be below the Natural Resources Wales/Environment Agency's lower threshold of 1% of the Critical Level of  $1.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at Caeau Wern SSSI, Cae Cwm-Bach SSSI, Elan Valley Woodlands SAC/Cwm Gwynllyn SSSI and the other parts of the River Wye SAC/River Wye (Upper Wye) SSSI.

In such cases, where the predicted process contributions to ammonia concentrations and nitrogen deposition rates are between the Natural Resources Wales/Environment Agency's lower and upper thresholds, an in-combination assessment may be necessary as part of the competent authority's appropriate assessment.

## 7. References

Beasley. *et al.* Agroforestry Systems for Ammonia Abatement. Defra project AC0103.

Cambridge Environmental Research Consultants (CERC) (website).

<http://www.cerc.co.uk/environmental-software/ADMS-model.html>

Environment Agency H1 Risk Assessment (website).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/horizontal-guidance-environmental-permitting>

M. A. Sutton *et al.* Measurement and modelling of ammonia exchange over arable croplands.

<https://enviro.doe.gov.my/lib/digital/1386301476-1-s2.0-S0166111606802748-main.pdf>

Misselbrook. *et al.* Inventory of Ammonia Emissions from UK Agriculture 2011

[http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/assets/documents/reports/cat07/1211291427\\_nh3inv2011\\_261112\\_FINAL\\_corrected.pdf](http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/assets/documents/reports/cat07/1211291427_nh3inv2011_261112_FINAL_corrected.pdf)

Frederik Schrader and Christian Brümmer. Land Use Specific Ammonia Deposition Velocities: a Review of Recent Studies (2004–2013)

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4176955/>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) (website).

<http://www.unece.org/env/lrtap/WorkingGroups/wge/definitions.htm>

UK Air Pollution Information System (APIS) (website).

<http://www.apis.ac.uk/>